

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/762,331	01/23/2004	Doo-Young Ryu	1594.1315	4947	
21171 . 759	90 06/03/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HA	LSEY LLP		LU, JII	PING	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3749		

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/762,331	RYU, DOO-YOUNG		
Examiner .	Art Unit		
Jiping Lu	3749		

•	- Lxammer .	Artonic				
	Jiping Lu	3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 16 May 1005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	`			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	n the same day as filing a Notice wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider o compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		th in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITTO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR	.136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th				
	but prior to the date of filing a brid	ef will not be entered b	ecause			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of 						
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>1,3-8 and 10-18</u> . Claim(s) objected to:		mii be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19 and 20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attacl	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	·		nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 1/26/2005						
13. Other:						
		A	_			
		Jiping Lu Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3749	•			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly added claim 21 raises new issues and need further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the unamended claims 19-20 failed to define over the art of record. It is well known in the heat exchange art to use heat pipe for exchanging heat in order to conser energy. As evidenced by Taylor (U. S. Pat. 4,103,433) cited and recognized by the the applicant in the IDS filed1/26/2005 which clearly shows the concept of using heat pipe for exchanging heat in the clothes dryer. It is also noted that the examiner on page 4 lines 6 and 18 of the last office action, has held such combination to be well known in the art. The applicant failed to answer examiner's assertion in the office action. The examiner now considers the failure to be acquiescent to such well known feature.